Mr. Colby:~

**STATINTL** 

dropped in early last week and asked to see you regarding his case. He apologized for bothering you on a matter so small as a \$350 reimbursement, commenting that the money did not really matter all that much, but he does have a few got his package from OGC, and I put your calendar at 3:30 on Monday, 19 Jan.

STATINTL

Barbara 17 Jan 76

16 January 1976

MINITEDIA DITECTOL OF COLUMN	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Central	Intelligence
------------------------------	-----------------	---------------------	--------------

SUBJECT:

Claim for Reimbursement of Cost of Shipping His Foreign-Made, Foreign-

Purchased Automobile

1. We have again reviewed the claim in response to your question of whether you have the legal authority to waive the applicable Agency regulation in this case, In doing so, we had occasion to seek out some additional facts and have a conversation with regarding his claim.

25X1A 25X1A

25X1A

- 2. As you know, the so-called congressional prohibition on the shipment of foreign-made, foreign-purchased POVs derives from House Report No. 92-1567, 10 October 1972, as an expression of congressional intent concerning the shipment by Government officers and employees of foreign-made, foreign-purchased automobiles. As we have advised before, the language of the Conference Report does not have the force of law and accordingly, you have the legal authority to waive the Agency regulation if you wish to do so. However, the additional facts discovered cause me to be even more certain that the previous OGC and DDA positions on this matter are correct.
- 3. We advised that the vehicle purchased by was not only foreign-made but foreign-purchased and this determination is not, I believe, "overly technical." By law, under the Uniform Commercial Code there was no sale until the specific car was identified to the contract. Additionally, though not previously considered, it was a foreign purchase under applicable tax law. If this purchase had been a domestic purchase, the dealer was obligated to charge and was obligated to pay, an excise tax on the vehicle which at the time was 10% of the purchase price.

  Because this was a foreign purchase, no excise tax was charged or paid as evidenced by the contract (copy attached) and by a statement from to me. Further, no duty was paid on the car. as would have been the case if it had been a domestic purchase. Similar purchase plans order in the U.S. and pick up in Europe have been examined in depth by IRS. Some plans

E2 IMPDET

CL BY 058473

have been found to be domestic purchases, others foreign purchases. Ordering the car in the United States, accepting delivery of it in Europe, and then keeping it in Europe for personal use for a period of time has been found to be a foreign purchase and not subject to the U.S. excise tax. In fact this excise tax saving of about \$200 plus the exemption from duty offsets in large part the cost of shipping which would have been paid had the car been a domestic purchase.

memoranda that he could not have shipped his car before the deadline even if he had been fully aware of all the facts because he would have been without a car for the next eighteen months. In addition, a recently discovered dispatch shows that was told over three months in advance of his PCS ship25X1A ment, 28 June 1974, that he would not be permitted to ship his vehicle at Government expense (see a copy of	4. and the Ins	pector General a	lso gave con	siderable	
statement in one of his 25X1A memoranda that he could not have shipped his car before the deadline even if he had been fully aware of all the facts because he would have been without a car for the next eighteen months. In addition, a recently discovered dispatch shows that was told over three months in advance of his PCS ship25X1A ment, 28 June 1974, that he would not be permitted to ship his vehicle at Government expense (see a copy of pseudonym is the one that begins with  5. In conclusion, I situation was no different than that of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreignmade, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	weight to the nature of the guidan	ce which was giv	ven to the fie	ld on this	•
memoranda that he could not have shipped his car before the deadline even if he had been fully aware of all the facts because he would have been without a car for the next eighteen months. In addition, a recently discovered dispatch shows that was told over three months in advance of his PCS ship 25X1A ment, 28 June 1974, that he would not be permitted to ship his vehicle at Government expense (see a copy of pseudonym is the one that begins with  5. In conclusion, I situation was no different than that of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreignmade, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	regulatory change. On balance,	it does not appea	r that the gu	idance was	
if he had been fully aware of all the facts because he would have been without a car for the next eighteen months. In addition, a recently discovered dispatch shows that was told over three months in advance of his PCS ship25X1A ment, 28 June 1974, that he would not be permitted to ship his vehicle at Government expense (see a copy of pseudonym is the one that begins with  5. In conclusion, least situation was no different that of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreignmade, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	that bad, particularly in view o	sta	tement in on	e of his	25X1A
a car for the next eighteen months. In addition, a recently discovered dispatch shows that was told over three months in advance of his PCS ship25X1A ment, 28 June 1974, that he would not be permitted to ship his vehicle at Government expense (see a copy of pseudonym is the one that begins with  5. In conclusion, I situation was no different that that of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreignmade, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	memoranda that he could not have	shipped his car	before the d	eadline even	٠.,
was told over three months in advance of his PCS ship 25X1A ment, 28 June 1974, that he would not be permitted to ship his vehicle at Government expense (see a copy of pseudonym is the one that begins with  5. In conclusion, I situation was no different that of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreignmade, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	if he had been fully aware of all tl	he facts because	he would hav	ve been withou	ıt
ment, 28 June 1974, that he would not be permitted to ship his vehicle at  Government expense (see a copy of pseudonym is the one that begins with  5. In conclusion, least situation was no different that that of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreignmade, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	a car for the next eighteen months	s. In addition, a	recently dis	covered dispa	tch
5. In conclusion, least situation was no different than that of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreignmade, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	shows that was told o	over three months	s in advance	of his PCS shi	p25X1A
5. In conclusion, I situation was no different than that of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreign-made, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	ment, 28 June 1974, that he would	l not be permitted	d to ship his	vehicle at	<u>-</u>
5. In conclusion, least situation was no different than that of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreign-made, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship 25X1A at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	Government expense (see a copy o	of			25X1A
of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreign-made, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship 25X1A at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	pseudonym is the one that begins	with		•	<b>25</b> X1A
of any Government civilian employee serving overseas. He owned a foreign-made, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship 25X1A at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	·			Ma.3	
made, foreign-purchased automobile which he was told he could not ship 25X1A at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have					
at Government expense. If you choose to waive the regulation, you have	-	. •			
					25X1A
the legal authority to do so.	<del>_</del>	noose to waive the	e regulation,	, you have	
	the legal authority to do so.				

Deputy General Counsel

Attachment

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt

## Approved For Release 2001/11/20: CIA REP79M00467A 0003000 Routing Slip TO: ACTION INFO. ACTION INFO. 11 LC DCI IG 12 DDCI 13 Compt S/MC 14 Asst/DCI DDS&T 15 AO/DCI DDI 16 Ex/Sec DD/AS 17 DDO 7 18 D/DCI/IC 19 D/DCI/NIO 20 GC 10 SUSPENSE Remarks: 19 JAN 1976 assured him that he had will one

Approved For Release 2001/11/20 : CIA-RDP79M00467A00030005